

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/802,709	03/08/2001	Andrew C. Lam	ARC2865N1	1161	
75	90 04/09/2003				
PAUL B. SIMBOLI			EXAMINER		
ALZA CORPORATION 1900 CHARLESTON ROAD MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94039-7210			FAY, ZOHREH A		
			<del></del>		
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614	12	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	1)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/802,709	LAM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Zohreh Fay	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' , cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>35-45</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-45</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ , , , ,	sapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in replaced in the second s	•					
	arriirier.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	iibd 25 U.C.O. 9	140(-) (-) (5)				
<ul><li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	i priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (1).				
, , ,	s have been received					
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	•				
application from the International Bu  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>	• •					
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/802,709

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 35-45 are presented for examination.

Applicant is reminded that the existing claims in the instant applications were claims 1 and 3-34. Therefore, the claims submitted in the preliminary amendment were numbered 35-45.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 44 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Dante (U.S. Patent 5,512,593). Dante teaches the use of Ritalin for the treatment of attention deficit disorder at the doses of 160 mg, which is in the range of the claimed concentration. The above reference makes clear that the claimed composition is old and well known.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 37-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horacke (U.S. Patent 5,484,607).

Horacke teaches the use of methylphenidate and dextroamphetamine for the treatment of attention deficit disorder in a pharmaceutical composition in a sustained release formulation. See page 7, paragraph 7, line 4. The above reference differs from

Application/Control Number: 09/802,709

Art Unit: 1614

the claimed invention in the use of the specific amounts or the interval of administration. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art to use the claimed compounds for the treatment of the attention deficit disorder and determine the proper dosage or route of administration, considering that the prior art teaches such compounds have been previously used for the treatment of attention deficit disorder in a sustained release form. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to employ the teachings of the above reference, since it relates to the use of the claimed compounds, methylphenidate or dextroamphetamine in a sustained release form for the treatment of attention deficit disorder. The determination of optimum proportions or amounts and interval of administration is considered to be within the skill of the artisan in the absence f evidence to the contrary. Applicant has presented no evidence to establish the unexpected or unobvious nature of the claimed invention, and as such, claims 35-45 are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh Fay whose telephone number is (703) 308-4604. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/802,709

Art Unit: 1614

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Z.F March 6, 2003 PAIRARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

Zohot Fy